CS161: Design and Analysis of Algorithms



Lecture 6 Leonidas Guibas

Outline

 Review of last lecture: Order statistics and (randomized/deterministic) selection

Heaps and HeapSort
The heap data structure
The HeapSort algorithm
Priority queues

Slides modified from

<u>http://www.cs.virginia.edu/~luebke/cs332/</u>

HeapSort

Another Θ(n log n) sorting algorithm
In practice, QuickSort wins
However, the heap data structure and its

variants are very useful for many other algorithms (beyond sorting)

SelectionSort



SelectionSort

SelectionSort(A[1..n]) for (i = n; i > 0; i--) $index = max_element(A[1..i])$ swap(A[i], A[index]); end What's the time complexity? If max element takes $\Theta(n)$, selection sort takes $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \Theta(n^2)$

Heap

- A heap is a data structure that allows us to quickly retrieve the largest (or smallest) element from a set
- It takes time $\Theta(n)$ to build the heap
- If we need to retrieve largest element, second largest, third largest..., in the long run the time taken for building heaps will be rewarded

Idea of HeapSort

HeapSort(A[1..n]) Build a "heap" from A For i = n down to 1 Retrieve largest element from heap Put element at end of A Reduce heap size by one end

Key:

1. Build a heap in linear time

2. Retrieve largest element (and make it ready for next retrieval) in O(log n) time

Heaps

A heap can be seen as a complete binary tree:



A **complete binary tree** is a binary tree in which every level, except possibly the last, is completely filled and, in that level, all nodes are as far to the left as possible.

Key Heap Property

• A *heap* can be seen as a complete binary tree



 A tree in which every node holds a key larger than or equal to those of its children

Heaps

 In practice, heaps are usually realized / implemented as arrays:



Heaps

• To represent a complete binary tree as an array:

- The root node is A[1]
- Node i is A[i]
- The parent of node *i* is A[*i*/2] (note: integer divide, or floor)
- The left child of node i is A[2i]
- The right child of node i is A[2i + 1]

3

10

16

14

Referencing Heap Elements

```
• So...
  Parent(i)
    {return \lfloor i/2 \rfloor;}
  Left(i)
    {return 2*i;}
  right(i)
    {return 2*i + 1;}
```

Heap Height

Definitions:

- The height of a node in the tree = the number of edges on the longest downward path to a leaf
- The height of a tree = the height of its root



What is the height of an n-element heap? Why?

 Llog₂(n). Basic heap operations take at most time proportional to the height of the heap

The Heap Property

- Heaps satisfy the *heap property*:
 A[*Parent*(*i*)] ≥ A[*i*] for all nodes *i* > 1
 - In other words, the value of a node is at most the value of its parent
 - The value of a node should be greater than or equal to both its left and right children
 and, inductively, to that all of its descendants
 - Where is the largest element in a heap stored?



Violation to heap property: a node has value less than one of its children How to find that? How to resolve that?

Heap Operations: Heapify()

Heapify(): maintain the heap property

- Given: a node *i* in the heap with children *l* and *r*
- Given: two subtrees rooted at I and r, assumed to be heaps
- Problem: The subtree rooted at *i* may violate the heap property
- Action: let the value of the parent node "sift down" so subtree at *i* satisfies the heap property

• Fix up the relationship between *i*, *l*, and *r* recursively

Heap Operations: Heapify()



















Analyzing Heapify(): Informal

- Aside from the recursive call, what is the running time of Heapify()?
- How many times can Heapify() recursively call itself?
- What is the worst-case running time of Heapify() on a heap of size n?

Analyzing Heapify(): Formal

- Fixing up relationships between *i*, *I*, and *r* takes Θ(1) time
- If the heap at i has n elements, how many elements can the subtrees at I or r have?
- Answer: 2n/3 (worst case: bottom row 1/2 full)
- So time taken by Heapify() is given by $T(n) \le T(2n/3) + \Theta(1)$

Analyzing Heapify(): Formal

So we have T(n) ≤ T(2n/3) + Θ(1)
By case 2 of the Master Theorem, T(n) = O(lg n)
Thus, Heapify() takes logarithmic time

Heap Operations: BuildHeap()

 We can build a heap in a bottom-up manner by running Heapify() on successive subarrays

- Fact: for array of length n, all elements in range A[_n/2] + 1 .. n] are heaps (Why?)
- So:
 - Walk backwards through the array from n/2 to 1, calling Heapify() on each node.
 - Order of processing guarantees that the children of node i are heaps when i is processed

Fact: for array of length n, all elements in range A[[n/2] + 1 .. n] are heaps (Why?)

Heap size	# leaves	# internal nodes
1	1	0
2	1	1
3	2	1
4	2	2
5	3	2

 $0 \le \#$ leaves - # internal nodes ≤ 1 # of internal nodes = $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$

BuildHeap()

```
// given an unsorted array A, make A a heap
BuildHeap(A)
{
    heap_size(A) = length(A);
    for (i = [length[A]/2] downto 1)
        Heapify(A, i);
}
```

BuildHeap() Example


























Analyzing BuildHeap()

- Each call to Heapify() takes O(lg n) time
- There are O(*n*) such calls (specifically, $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$)
- Thus the running time is O(n lg n)
 - Is this a correct asymptotic upper bound?
 - Is this an asymptotically tight bound?
- A tighter bound is O(n)
 - How can this be? Is there a flaw in the above reasoning?

Analyzing BuildHeap(): Tight

To Heapify() a subtree takes O(h) time where h is the height of the subtree
h = O(lg m), m = # nodes in subtree
The height of most subtrees is small
Fact: an n-element heap has at most [n/2^{h+1}] nodes of height h (why?)

$$T(n) \le \sum_{h=1}^{\log_2 n} \left[\frac{n}{2^{h+1}} \right] h \le \sum_{h=1}^{\log_2 n} \frac{nh}{2^h} = n \sum_{h=1}^{\log_2 n} \frac{h}{2^h} \le 2n$$

• Therefore $T(n) = O(n)$

- Fact: an *n*-element heap has at most [n/2^{h+1}] nodes of height *h* (why?)
 [n/2] leaf nodes (h = 0): f(0) = [n/2]
 f(1) ≤ ([n/2]+1)/2 = [n/4]
 The above fact can be proved using induction
- Assume $f(h) \leq \lceil n/2^{h+1} \rceil$
- $f(h+1) \leq (f(h)+1)/2 \leq \lceil n/2^{h+2} \rceil$

$$T(n) \le \sum_{h=1}^{\log_2 n} \left[\frac{n}{2^{h+1}} \right] h \le \sum_{h=1}^{\log_2 n} \frac{nh}{2^h} = n \sum_{h=1}^{\log_2 n} \frac{h}{2^h} \le 2n$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\log_2 n} \frac{h}{2^h} \le \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{h}{2^h} = 2$$

Appendix A.8

 $T(n) \leq 2n$

Heapsort

- Given BuildHeap(), an in-place sorting algorithm is easily constructed:
 - Maximum element is at A[1]
 - Discard by swapping with element at A[n]
 - Decrement heap_size[A]
 - •A[n] now contains correct value
 - Restore heap property at A[1] by calling
 Heapify()
 - Repeat, always swapping A[1] for A[heap_size(A)]

Heapsort

```
Heapsort(A)
{
     BuildHeap(A);
     for (i = length(A) downto 2)
     {
          Swap(A[1], A[i]);
          heap_size(A) -= 1;
          Heapify(A, 1);
```

}



First: build a heap A =

Swap last and first





 Restore heap on remaining unsorted elements



Repeat: swap new last and first











Analyzing Heapsort

- The call to BuildHeap() takes O(n) time
- Each of the n 1 calls to Heapify() takes O(lg n) time
- Thus the total time taken by HeapSort() = $O(n) + (n - 1) O(\lg n)$ = $O(n) + O(n \lg n)$ = $O(n \lg n)$

Comparison

	Time complexity	Stable?	In-place?
MergeSort			
QuickSort			
HeapSort			

Comparison

	Time complexity	Stable?	In-place?
MergeSort	Θ (n log n)	Yes	No
QuickSort	 Θ(n log n) expected. Θ(n^2) worst case 	No	Yes
HeapSort	Θ (n log n)	No	Yes

Priority Queues

- HeapSort is a nice algorithm, but in practice QuickSort usually wins
- The heap data structure, however, is incredibly useful for implementing priority queues
 - A data structure for maintaining a set S of elements, each with an associated value or key
 - Supports the operations Insert(), Maximum(), ExtractMax(), ChangeKey()
- What might a priority queue be useful for?

Personal Travel Destination List

- You have a list of places that you want to visit, each with a preference score
- Always visit the place with highest score
- Remove a place after visiting it
- You frequently add more destinations
- You may change score for a place when you have more information
- What's the best data structure?

















Priority Queue Operations

- Insert(S, x) inserts the element x into set
 S
- Maximum(S) returns the element of S with the maximum key
- ExtractMax(S) removes and returns the element of S with the maximum key
- ChangeKey(S, i, key) changes the key for element i to something else
- How could we implement these operations using a heap?

Implementing Priority Queues

```
HeapMaximum(A)
{
    return A[1];
}
```

Implementing Priority Queues

```
HeapExtractMax(A)
{
    if (heap_size[A] < 1) { error; }
    max = A[1];
    A[1] = A[heap_size[A]]
    heap_size[A] --;
    Heapify(A, 1);
    return max;</pre>
```

}

Heap ExtractMax Example



Heap ExtractMax Example

Swap first and last, then remove last



16

Heap ExtractMax Example



Implementing Priority Queues








Implementing Priority Queues

```
HeapInsert(A, key) {
    heap_size[A] ++;
    i = heap_size[A];
    A[i] = -∞;
    HeapChangeKey(A, i, key);
}
```

Heap Insert Example

HeapInsert(A, 17) A =

Heap Insert Example

HeapInsert(A, 17) 10 10 10 3 2 4 1 $-\infty$

 $-\infty$ makes it a valid heap





Heap Insert Example

HeapInsert(A, 17)



Heapify: Θ(log n)
BuildHeap: Θ(n)
HeapSort: Θ(n log n)

HeapMaximum: Θ(1)
HeapExtractMax: Θ(log n)
HeapChangeKey: Θ(log n)
HeapInsert: Θ(log n)

Compare: Sorted Array / Linked List

Sort: Θ(n log n)
Afterwards:

- arrayMaximum: Θ(1)
 arrayExtractMax: Θ(n) or Θ(1)
 arrayChangeKey: Θ(n)
- arrayInsert: Θ(n)