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## A Puzzle

## What is the value of the following expression?

abs(3.*(4./3.-1.)-1.)

## A Puzzle

What is the value of the following expression?
abs(3.*(4./3.-1.)-1.)
Machine precision $\varepsilon_{m}$ : smallest $\varepsilon_{m}$ with $1+\varepsilon_{m} \not \neq 1$

## Prototypical Example

double x = 1.0;
double y = x / 3.0;
if ( $\mathrm{x}==\mathrm{y} * 3.0$ ) cout << "They are equal!"; else cout << "They are NOT equal.";

## Take-Away

# Mathematically correct <br> $\neq$ <br> Numerically sound 

## Using Tolerances

double x = 1.0; double y = x / 3.0; if (fabs $(x-y * 3.0)$ <
numeric_limits<double>::epsilon)
cout << "They are equal!"; else cout << "They are NOT equal.";

## Counting in Binary: Integer

$$
\begin{gathered}
463=256+128+64+8+4+2+1 \\
=2^{8}+2^{7}+2^{6}+2^{3}+2^{2}+2^{1}+2^{0} \\
\downarrow
\end{gathered}
$$

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2^{8}$ | $2^{7}$ | $2^{6}$ | $2^{5}$ | $2^{4}$ | $2^{3}$ | $2^{2}$ | $2^{1}$ | $2^{0}$ |

## Counting in Binary: Fractional

$$
\begin{aligned}
463.25 & =256+128+64+8+4+2+1+1 / 4 \\
& =2^{8}+2^{7}+2^{6}+2^{3}+2^{2}+2^{1}+2^{0}+2^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\downarrow
$$

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1. | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2^{8}$ | $2^{7}$ | $2^{6}$ | $2^{5}$ | $2^{4}$ | $2^{3}$ | $2^{2}$ | $2^{1}$ | $2^{0}$ | $2^{-1}$ | $2^{-2}$ |

## Familiar Problem

$\frac{1}{3}=0.0101010101 \cdots 2$

## Finite number of bits

## Fixed-Point Arithmetic

| 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 0. | 0 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2^{\ell}$ | $2^{\ell-1}$ | $\cdots$ | $2^{0}$ | $2^{-1}$ | $\cdots$ | $2^{-k+1}$ | $2^{-k}$ |

- Parameters: $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$
- $k+\ell+1$ digits total
- Can reuse integer arithmetic (fast; GPU possibility):

$$
a+b=\left(a \cdot 2^{k}+b \cdot 2^{k}\right) \cdot 2^{-k}
$$

## Two-Digit Example

$$
0.1_{2} \times 0.1_{2}=0.01_{2} \cong 0.0_{2}
$$

Multiplication and division easily change order of magnitude!

## Demand of Scientific Applications

# $9.11 \times 10^{-31} \rightarrow 6.022 \times 10^{23}$ 

## Desired: Graceful transition

## Observations

- Compactness matters:

$$
\begin{gathered}
6.022 \times 10^{23}= \\
602,200,000,000,000,000,000,000
\end{gathered}
$$

## Observations

- Compactness matters:

$$
\begin{gathered}
6.022 \times 10^{23}= \\
602,200,000,000,000,000,000,000
\end{gathered}
$$

- Some operations are unlikely:

$$
6.022 \times 10^{23}+9.11 \times 10^{-31}
$$

## Scientific Notation

## Store significant digits
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## Properties of Floating Point



- Unevenly spaced
- Machine precision $\varepsilon_{m}$ : smallest $\varepsilon_{m}$ with $1+\varepsilon_{m} \neq 1$
- Needs rounding rule
(e.g. "round to nearest, ties to even")
- Can remove leading 1


## Infinite Precision

$$
\mathbb{Q}=\{a / b: a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}
$$

- Simple rules: $a / b+c / d=(a d+c b) / b d$
- Redundant: $1 / 2=2 / 4$
- Blowup:

$$
\frac{1}{100}+\frac{1}{101}+\frac{1}{102}+\frac{1}{103}+\frac{1}{104}+\frac{1}{105}=\frac{188463347}{3218688200}
$$

- Restricted operations: $2 \mapsto \sqrt{2}$


## Bracketing

## Store range $a \pm \varepsilon$

- Special case of "Interval Arithmetic"
- Keeps track of certainty and rounding decisions
- Easy bounds:

$$
\left(x \pm \varepsilon_{1}\right)+\left(y \pm \varepsilon_{2}\right)=(x+y) \pm\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}+\operatorname{error}(x+y)\right)
$$

- Implementation via operator overloading


# Sources of Error 

- Rounding
- Discretization
- Modeling
- Input


## Example

# What sources of error might affect a financial simulation? 

## Example

## Catastrophic cancellation

## Consider the function

$$
f(x)=\frac{e^{x}-1}{x}-1
$$

near $x=0$.

## Absolute vs. Relative Error

## Absolute Error

The difference between the approximate value and the underlying true value
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## Absolute vs. Relative Error

## Absolute Error

The difference between the approximate value and the underlying true value

## Relative Error

Absolute error divided by the true value

> 2 in $\pm 0.02$ in
> 2 in $\pm 1 \%$

## Relative Error: Difficulty

## Problem: Generally not computable

## Relative Error: Difficulty

## Problem: Generally not computable

## Common fix: Be conservative

## Computable Measures of Success

## Root-finding problem

For $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, find $x^{*}$ such that $f\left(x^{*}\right)=0$.

## Actual output: $x_{e s t}$ with $\left|f\left(x_{e s t}\right)\right| \ll 1$

## Backward Error

## Backward Error

The amount the problem statement would have to change to make the approximate solution exact
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## Backward Error

## Backward Error

The amount the problem statement would have to change to make the approximate solution exact

## Example 1: $\sqrt{x}$

Example 2: $A \vec{x}=\vec{b}$

## Conditioning

## Well-conditioned:

# Small backward error $\Longrightarrow$ small forward error 

## Poorly conditioned: Otherwise

## Example: Root-finding

## Condition Number

## Condition number <br> Ratio of forward to backward error

## Condition Number

## Condition number Ratio of forward to backward error

## Root-finding example:

$$
\frac{1}{\left|f^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right|}
$$

## Theme

# Extremely careful implementation can be <br> necessary. 

## Example: $\|\vec{x}\|_{2}$

double normSquared $=0$; for (int i = 0; $\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{n}$; i++) normSquared += x[i]*x[i]; return sqrt(normSquared);

## Improved $\|\vec{x}\|_{2}$

double maxElement = epsilon;
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) maxElement $=\max (\operatorname{maxElement}, \mathrm{fabs}(x[i]))$;
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) \{ double scaled = x[i] / maxElement; normSquared += scaled*scaled; \}
return sqrt(normSquared) * maxElement;

## More Involved Example: $\sum_{i} x_{i}$

double sum = 0;<br>for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)<br>sum += x[i];

## Motivation for Kahan Algorithm

# $$
((a+b)-a)-b \stackrel{?}{=} 0
$$ <br> Store compensation value! 

Details in textbook

