Lecture 17:

Modern Rendering Techniques
Using the Graphics Pipeline
Cool 3D models
Cool 3D models
Cool 3D models
Recall: OpenGL/Direct3D graphics pipeline

Operations on vertices
- Vertex Processing
  - Vertex stream

Operations on primitives (triangles, lines, etc.)
- Primitive Processing
  - Primitive stream

Operations on fragments
- Fragment Generation (Rasterization)
  - Fragment stream

Operations on screen samples (depth and color)
- Fragment Processing
  - Shaded fragment stream

Input: vertices in 3D space
- Vertices in positioned in normalized coordinate space

Triangles positioned on screen
- Fragments (one fragment per covered sample)

Output: image (pixels)
- Shaded fragments
Theme of this part of the lecture:

A surprising number of advanced lighting effects can be approximated using the basic primitives of rasterization pipeline:

- Fast rasterization (scene coverage testing)
- Texture mapping
- Depth buffer
Shadows
Shadows

Image credits: Johnson et al., NVIDIA
Shadows

Image credit: Grand Theft Auto V
How to compute if a surface is in shadow?
A simple shadow computation algorithm

- Based on ray tracing...
- Trace ray from point $P$ to location $L_i$ of light source
- If ray hits scene object before reaching light source... then $P$ is in shadow
Recall rasterization / ray casting relationship

- Rasterization is a efficient (GPU accelerated) implementation of ray casting where:
  - Scene intersection results for a batch of rays are computed at a time
  - All rays originate from same origin
  - Projection of rays distributed uniformly in plane of projection
    (Note: not uniform in angle... angle between rays is smaller away from view direction)
Shadow mapping: ray origin for rasterization need not be the scene’s camera position [Williams 78]

1. Place camera at position of a point light source
2. Render scene to compute depth to closest object to light along uniformly distributed “shadow rays” (answer stored in depth buffer)
3. Store precomputed shadow ray intersection results in a texture

“Shadow map” = depth map from perspective of a point light. (Stores closest intersection along each shadow ray in a texture)

Image credits: Segal et al. 92, NVIDIA
Result of shadow texture lookup approximates visibility result when shading fragment at $P$

Precomputed shadow rays shown in red:
Distance to closest object in scene is precomputed and stored in texture map ("shadow map")
Shadow mapping pseudocode
(implemented in fragment shader)

- Given world-space point \( P_{\text{world}} \) and light position \((L)\) and light direction \((D)\)
- Transform \( P \) into “light space”, defined by light position at origin and -Z aligned with \( D \)
- Project transformed \( P \) into \( P_{\text{proj}} \)
- Lookup value in shadow map at \( P_{\text{proj}.x}, P_{\text{proj}.y} \)
- If value from shadow map is less than \(|L-P|\), then point \( P \) is in shadow.
Shadow aliasing due to shadow map undersampling

Shadows computed using shadow map

Correct hard shadows (result from computing \( v(x';x'') \) directly using ray tracing)
Soft shadows

Hard shadows
(created by point light source)

Soft shadows
(created by ???)

Image credit: Pixar
Shadow cast by area light
Percentage closer filtering (PCF) — hack!

- Instead of sample shadow map once, perform multiple lookups around desired texture coordinate.
- Tabulate fraction of lookups that are in shadow, modulate light intensity accordingly.

### Shadow Map
(consider case where distance from light to surface is 0.5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Graphics

- **Hard Shadows** (one lookup per fragment)
- **PCF Shadows** (16 lookups per fragment)
Ambient occlusion
**Ambient occlusion**

Idea:
Precompute “amount of hemisphere” that is occluded within distance $d$ from a point. When shading, attenuate environment lighting by this amount.
“Screen-space” ambient occlusion in games

1. Render scene to depth buffer
2. For each pixel \( p \) (“ray trace” the depth buffer to estimate occlusion of hemisphere - use a few samples per pixel)
3. Blur the occlusion map to reduce noise
4. Shade pixels, darken direct environment lighting by occlusion amount
Reflections
Reflections

Image credit: NVIDIA
Recall: perfect mirror reflection
Rasterization: “camera” position can be reflection point

Environment mapping:
place ray origin at reflective object

Yields approximation to true reflection results. Why?

Cube map:
stores results of approximate mirror reflection rays

(Question: how can a glossy surface be rendered using the cube-map)

Center of projection

Scene rendered 6 times, with ray origin at center of reflective box (produces “cube-map”)
Interreflections
Diffuse interreflections

Why is this gray wall tinted red?

Why is this point not black?

Image credit: Henrik Wann Jensen
Precomputed lighting

- Precompute lighting for a scene offline (possible for static lights)
  - Offline computations can perform advanced shadowing, inter reflection computations

- “Bake” results of lighting into texture map
Precomputed lighting in Unity

Visualization of light map texture coordinates

Image credit: Unity / Alex Lovett
Growing interest in real-time ray tracing

- I’ve just shown you an array of different algorithmic techniques for approximating different lighting phenomenon

- Challenges:
  - Different algorithm for each effect (code complexity)
  - Algorithms may not compose
  - Approximations to true physically correct solution ("hacks!")

- Traditionally, tracing rays to solve these problems has been too costly for real-time use
  - That may be changing soon…

This image was ray traced in real-time on a (very high end) GPU

Learn more in CS348B!
Deferred Shading
The graphics pipeline

Verteck Generation

Vertex Processing

Rasterization (Fragment Generation)

Early Z

Fragment Processing

Frame-Buffer Ops

Frame Buffer

“Forward” rendering

Typical use of fragment processing stage: evaluate application-defined function from surface inputs to surface color (reflectance)
Deferred shading: two steps

Step 1: Do not use traditional pipeline to generate RGB image

Fragment shader now outputs surface properties (future shading inputs) (e.g., position, normal, material diffuse color, specular color)

Rendering output is a screen-size 2D buffer representing information about the surface geometry visible at each pixel (called a “g-buffer”, for geometry buffer)
G-buffer = “geometry” buffer

Albedo (Reflectance)

Depth

Normal

Specular

Image Credit: J. Klint, “Deferred Rendering in Leadworks Engine”
Example G-buffer layout

Graphics pipeline configured to render to four RGBA output buffers + depth (32-bits per pixel, per buffer)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R8</th>
<th>G8</th>
<th>B8</th>
<th>A8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth 24bpp</td>
<td>Lighting Accumulation RGB</td>
<td>Normal X (FP16)</td>
<td>Spec-Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32-bits</td>
<td>96-bits</td>
<td>128-bits</td>
<td>128-bits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth 32</td>
<td>Lighting Accumulation RGBA</td>
<td>Normal Y (FP16)</td>
<td>Spec-Intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-bits</td>
<td>128-bits</td>
<td>128-bits</td>
<td>128-bits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse Albedo RGB</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sun-Occlusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-bits</td>
<td></td>
<td>128-bits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: W. Engel, “Light-Prepass Renderer Mark III” SIGGRAPH 2009 Talks

Intuitive to consider G-buffer as one big render target with “fat” pixels
In the example above: 32 x 5 =160 bits = 20 bytes per pixel

96-160 bits per pixel is common in games
Compressed G-buffer layout

G-buffer layout in Bungie’s Destiny (2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albedo Color RGB</td>
<td>Ambient Occlusion</td>
<td>RT0</td>
<td>RT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal XYZ * (Biased Specular Smoothness)</td>
<td>Material ID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>Stencil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Material information compressed using indirection
  - Store material ID in G-buffer
  - Material parameters other than albedo (specular shape/roughness/color) stored in table indexed by material ID

Example material ID visualization

Source: N Tatarchuk: SIGGRAPH 2014 Courses, Matt Hoffman
Two-pass deferred shading algorithm

- **Pass 1: G-buffer generation pass**
  - Render complete scene geometry using traditional pipeline
  - Write visible geometry information to G-buffer

After all geometry processing is done...

- **Pass 2: shading/lighting pass**
  
  For each G-buffer sample \((x,y)\), compute shading
  - Read G-buffer data for current sample \((x,y)\)
  - Accumulate contribution of all lights
  - Output final surface color for sample \((x,y)\)

Shading/lighting computations are “deferred” until all geometry processing is complete...

Image Credit: J. Klint, “Deferred Rendering in Leadworks Engine”
Why is deferred shading so popular in modern games?
Motivation: why deferred shading?

- Two performance reasons:

- Shading is expensive: deferred shading shades only visible fragments
  - Exactly one shade per output screen sample, regardless of the number of triangles in the scene (minimal amount of work + predictable shading performance that is independent of scene size or triangle submission order)

- Forward rendering shades small triangles inefficiently
GPUs shade at the granularity of 2x2 fragments
("quad fragment" is the minimum granularity of rasterization output and shading)

Enables cheap computation of texture coordinate differentials
(cheap: derivative computation leverages shading work that must be done by adjacent fragment anyway)

All quad-fragments are shaded independently
(communication is between fragments in a quad fragment, no communication required between quad fragments)
Implication: multiple fragments get shaded for pixels near triangle boundaries

Shading computations per pixel

8 +
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
Small triangles result in extra shading

Shaded quad fragments per pixel
(early-z is enabled + scene rendered in approximate front-to-back order to minimize extra shading due to overdraw)

100 pixel-area triangles                      10 pixel-area triangles                      1 pixel-area triangles

Want to sample appearance approximately once per surface per pixel (assuming correct texture filtering)
But graphics pipeline generates at least one appearance sample per triangle per pixel (actually more, considering quad fragments)
Motivation: why deferred shading?

- Shade only visible surface fragments
- Forward rendering shades small triangles inefficiently (quad-fragment granularity)
- Scalability to increasingly complex lighting environments
1000 lights
Forward rendering: naive multiple-light shader

```c
struct LightDefinition {
    int type;
    ...
}

// uniform values (read-only inputs to all shader instances)
sampler mySamp;
Texture2D<float3> myTex;
Texture2D<float> myEnvMaps[MAX_NUM_LIGHTS];
Texture2D<float> myShadowMaps[MAX_NUM_LIGHTS];
LightDefinition lightList[MAX_NUM_LIGHTS];
int numLights;

// fragment shader receives surface normal and texture coords uv
float4 fragment_shader(float3 norm, float2 uv) {
    float3 kd = myTex.Sample(mySamp, uv);
    float4 result = float4(0, 0, 0, 0);
    for (int i=0; i<numLights; i++){
        result += ... // eval contribution of light to surface reflectance here
    }
    return result; // output color of fragment shader
}
```
Rendering as a triple for loop

Naive forward rasterization-based renderer:

initialize z_closest[] to INFINITY // store closest-surface-so-far for all samples
initialize color[] // store scene color for all samples
bind all relevant light data in buffers: light descriptors, shadow maps, etc.

for each triangle t in scene: // loop 1: triangles
  t_proj = project_triangle(t)
  for each sample s in frame buffer: // loop 2: visibility samples
    if (t_proj covers s)
      for each light l in scene: // loop 3: lights
        accumulate contribution of light l to surface appearance
      if (depth of t at s is closer than z_closest[s])
        update z_closest[s] and color[s]

Triangles are outermost loop:

Efficient rasterization techniques (tiled, hierarchical, bounding boxes) serve to reduce $T \times S$ complexity of finding covered samples.
Rendering as a triple for-loop

Naive forward rasterization-based renderer:

initialize $z_{\text{closest}}[]$ to INFINITY // store closest surface-so-far for all samples
initialize color[] // store scene color for all samples
bind all relevant shadow maps, etc.

for each triangle $t$ in scene:                  // loop 1: triangles
  $t_{\text{proj}} = \text{project\_triangle}(t)$
  for each sample $s$ in frame buffer:         // loop 2: visibility samples
    if ($t_{\text{proj}}$ covers $s$)
      for each light $l$ in scene:         // loop 3: lights
        accumulate contribution of light $l$ to surface appearance
        if (depth of $t$ at $s$ is closer than $z_{\text{closest}}[s]$)
          update $z_{\text{closest}}[s]$ and color[$s$]

$F \times L$ loop: # fragments $\times$ # lights

In practice: not all lights illuminate all surfaces

Would like to be more efficient in computing these interactions
(just like we were efficient computing triangle/visibility sample interactions)
Naive many-light shader with culling

```cpp
struct LightDefinition {
    int type;
    ...
}

sampler mySamp;
Texture2D<float3> myTex;
Texture2D<float> myEnvMaps[MAX_NUM_LIGHTS];
Texture2D<float> myShadowMaps[MAX_NUM_LIGHTS];
LightDefinition lightList[MAX_NUM_LIGHTS];
int numLights;

float4 shader(float3 norm, float2 uv)
{
    float3 kd = myTex.Sample(mySamp, uv);
    float4 result = float4(0, 0, 0, 0);
    for (int i=0; i<numLights; i++)
    {
        if (this fragment is illuminated by current light)
        {
            if (lightList[i].type == SPOTLIGHT)
                result += // eval contribution of light here
            else if (lightList[i].type == POINTLIGHT)
                result += // eval contribution of light here
            else if ...
        }
    }
    return result;
}
```

**Large footprint:**
Assets for all lights (shadow maps, environment maps, etc.) must be allocated and bound to pipeline.

**SIMD execution divergence:**
1. Different outcomes for "is illuminated" predicate
2. Different logic to perform test (based on light type)
3. Different logic in loop body (based on light type, shadowed/unshadowed, etc.)

**Work inefficient:**
Predicate evaluated for each fragment/light pair:
\[ O(F \times L) \] work
- \( F \) = number of fragments
- \( L \) = number of lights
Forward rendering: techniques for scaling to many lights

- **Goal:** avoid performing \( F \times L \) “is-illuminated” checks

- **One solution:** application maintains per-object light lists
  - Each scene object maintains list of lights that illuminate it
  - CPU computes this list each frame by intersecting light volumes with scene geometry
    (light-geometry interactions computed per light-object pair, not light-fragment pair)
Light lists

Example: compute lists based on conservative bounding volumes for lights and scene objects

Resulting per-object lists:
- Obj 1: L1
- Obj 2: L2
- Obj 3: L2
- Obj 4: L2, L4
- Obj 5: L3, L4
Forward rendering: techniques for scaling to many lights

- Application maintains light lists
  - Computed conservatively per frame

- Option 1: draw scene in many small batches
  - First generate data structures for all lights: e.g., shadow maps
  - Before drawing each object, only send data for relevant lights to graphics pipeline
  - Write variants of shader that are specialized for different numbers of lights (4-light version, 8-light version...)
  - Implications:
    - Good: very efficient shaders with fewer conditionals
    - Bad: many “small” draw comments to sent to GPUs
Recall: rendering as a triple for-loop

Naive forward rasterization-based renderer:

initialize $z_{\text{closest}}[]$ to INFINITY // store closest surface-so-far for all samples
initialize color[] // store scene color for all samples
bind all relevant shadow maps, etc.

for each triangle $t$ in scene: // loop 1: triangles
    $t_{\text{proj}} = \text{project\_triangle}(t)$
    for each sample $s$ in frame buffer: // loop 2: visibility samples
        if ($t_{\text{proj}}$ covers $s$)
            for each light $l$ in scene: // loop 3: lights
                accumulate contribution of light $l$ to surface appearance
                if (depth of $t$ at $s$ is closer than $z_{\text{closest}}[s]$)
                    update $z_{\text{closest}}[s]$ and color[$s$]
Reordering triangles for light coherence

Shader code is now specialized to exactly 4 lights:

initialize $z_{\text{closest}}[]$ to $\text{INFINITY}$  // store closest surface-so-far for all samples
initialize $\text{color}[]$  // store scene color for all samples
bind all relevant shadow maps, etc.

for each group of triangles with the same number of lights:  // loop 0: groups of triangles
  bind specific shader for number of lights
  for each triangle $t$ in group:  // loop 1: triangles
    $t_{\text{proj}} = \text{project\_triangle}(t)$
    for each sample $s$ in frame buffer:  // loop 2: visibility samples
      if ($t_{\text{proj}}$ covers $s$)
        for lights 0 through 3:  // loop 3: lights (specialized for 4 lights)
          accumulate contribution of light $l$ to surface appearance
          if (depth of $t$ at $s$ is closer than $z_{\text{closest}}[s]$)
            update $z_{\text{closest}}[s]$ and $\text{color}[s]$
"Multi-pass" rendering for light coherence

initialize $z_{\text{closest}}[]$ to INFINITY \hspace{1cm} // store closest surface-so-far for all samples
initialize color[] \hspace{1cm} // store scene color for all samples
assume z buffer is initialized using a z prepass.

for each light $l$ in scene: \hspace{1cm} // loop 1: lights
  bind single light shader specific to current light type
  bind relevant shadow map, etc.
  for each triangle $t$ lit by light: \hspace{1cm} // loop 2: triangles
    $t_{\text{proj}} = \text{project}_\text{triangle}(t)$
    for each sample $s$ in frame buffer: \hspace{1cm} // loop 3: visibility samples
      if ($t_{\text{proj}}$ covers $s$)
        accumulate contribution of light $l$ to surface appearance \hspace{1cm} // specialized to 1 light
        if (depth of $t$ == $z_{\text{closest}}[s]$)
          update color[$s$]

Reorder loops: draw scene once per light
Each pass, only draw triangles illuminated by current light (per-light object lists)
Shader accumulates illumination of visible fragments from current light into frame buffer
Forward rendering: techniques for scaling to many lights

- Application maintains light lists

- Option 1: draw scene in many small batches
  - First generate data structures for all lights: e.g., shadow maps
  - Compute per-object light lists, before drawing each object, only bind data for relevant lights
  - Precompile specialized shaders for different sets of bound lights (4-light version, etc…)
  - For each object:
    - Render object with specialized shader for relevant lights
  - Good: can use specialized fragment shader for current type/number of lights
  - Bad: many draw comments to GPU (draw comment = single object, or small group of objects with the same number of lights)

- Option 2: multi-pass rendering
  - Compute per-light lists (for each light, compute illuminated objects)
  - For each light:
    - Compute necessary data structures (e.g., shadow maps)
    - Render scene with additive blending (only render geometry illuminated by light)
  - Good: Minimal footprint for light data
  - Good: can use specialized fragment shader for current type/number of lights
  - Bad: significant overheads: redundant geometry processing, many G-buffer accesses, redundant execution of common shading sub-expressions in fragment shader
Basic many light deferred shading algorithm

initialize z_closest[] to INFINITY  // store closest-surface-so-far for all samples
initialize gbuffer[]               // store surface information for all samples
for each triangle t in scene:     // loop 1: triangles
  t_proj = project_triangle(t)
  for each sample s in frame buffer:   // loop 2: visibility samples
    if (t_proj covers s)
      emit geometry information
      if (depth of t at s is closer than z_closest[s])
        update z_closest[s] and gbuffer[s]

initialize color[]                  // store color for all samples
for each light in scene:           // loop 1: lights
  bind single light shader specific to current light type
  bind relevant shadow map, etc.
  for each sample s in frame buffer:    // loop 2: visibility samples
    load gbuffer[s]
    accumulate contribution of current light to surface appearance into color[s]

- **Good**
  - Only process scene geometry once (only in phase 1)
  - Outer loop of phase 2 is over lights:
    - Avoids light data footprint issues (stream over lights)
    - Continues to avoid divergent execution in fragment shader
  - Recall other deferred benefits: only shade visibility samples (and no more)

- **Bad?**
Basic many light deferred shading algorithm

initialize z_closest[] to INFINITY  // store closest-surface-so-far for all samples
initialize gbuffer[]  // store surface information for all samples

for each triangle t in scene:  // loop 1: triangles
    t_proj = project_triangle(t)
    for each sample s in frame buffer:  // loop 2: visibility samples
        if (t_proj covers s)
            emit geometry information
            if (depth of t at s is closer than z_closest[s])
                update z_closest[s] and gbuffer[s]

initialize color[]  // store color for all samples

for each light in scene:  // loop 1: lights
    bind single light shader specific to current light type
    bind relevant shadow map, etc.
    for each sample s in frame buffer:  // loop 2: visibility samples
        load gbuffer[s]
        accumulate contribution of current light to surface appearance into color[s]

Bad:
- High G-buffer footprint: G-buffer has large footprint (especially when G-buffer is supersampled!)
- **High bandwidth costs** (read G-buffer each pass, output to frame buffer)
- Exactly one shading computation per frame-buffer sample
  - Does not support transparency (need multiple fragments per pixel)
  - Supersampling for anti-aliasing becomes expensive
Reducing deferred shading bandwidth costs

- **Batching**: process multiple lights in each phase 2 accumulation pass
  - Amortizes G-buffer load and frame buffer write across lighting computations for multiple lights

- **Only perform shading computations for G-buffer samples illuminated by light**
  - Technique 1: rasterize geometry of light volume (only generate fragments for covered G-buffer samples)
    - Light-fragment interaction predicate is evaluated by rasterizer, not in shader
  - Technique 2: CPU computes screen-aligned quad covered by light volume, renders quad
  - Many other techniques for culling light/G-buffer sample interactions

---

**Light volume geometry**
If volume is convex, rendering only the front-facing triangles of the light volume will generate fragments in the yellow shaded region (these are the only g-buffer samples that can be effected by the light)
Scene with 256 lights
Visualization of light-sample interaction count

Per-light culling is performed using a screen-aligned quad per light
(depth of quad is nearest point in light volume: early Z will cull fragments behind scene geometry)

Number of lights evaluated per G-buffer sample
(scene contains 1024 point lights)
Screen tiled-based light culling

Main idea: build list of lights that affect each screen tile (not each object)
Project light volume, then intersect in 2D with tiles

Yellow boxes: screen-aligned light volume bounding boxes
Blue boxes: screen tile boundaries

Image credit: HMREngine: http://www.hmrengine.com/blog/?p=399
Tile-based deferred shading: better light culling efficiency

(16x16 granularity of light culling is apparent in figure)

Number of lights evaluated per G-buffer sample
(scene contains 1024 point lights)

Image Credit: A. Lauritzen
Challenge: anti-aliasing geometry in a deferred renderer
Supersampling in a deferred shading system

- In assignment 1, you anti-aliased rendering via supersampling
  - Stored $N$ color samples and $N$ depth samples per pixel

- Deferred shading makes supersampling challenging due to large amount of information that must be stored per pixel
  - 2800 x 1800 (my Mac laptop I’m presenting on today)
  - 4 samples per pixel
  - 20 bytes per G-buffer sample

  $= 403$ MB G-buffer

  (24 GB/sec of memory bandwidth just to read and write the G-buffer at 30 fps)
Morphological anti-aliasing (MLAA) [Reshetov 09]

Detect carefully designed patterns in rendered image
For detected patterns, blend neighboring pixels according to a few simple rules ("hallucinate" a smooth edge)
Morphological anti-aliasing (MLAA)

Aliased image (one shading sample per pixel)

Zoomed views (top: aliased, bottom: after MLAA)

After filtering using MLAA

[Reshetov 09]
Summary: deferred shading

- Very popular technique in modern games
- Creative use of graphics pipeline
  - Create a G-buffer, not a final image
- Two major motivations
  - Convenience and simplicity of separating geometry processing logic/costs from shading costs
  - Potential for high performance under complex lighting and shading conditions
    - Shade only once per sample despite triangle overlap
    - Often more amenable to “screen-space shading techniques”
      - e.g., screen space ambient occlusion
Just for fun: (if time)
A small intro to how GPUs parallelize forward rendering
GPU: heterogeneous parallel processor

Parallel = many processing cores
Heterogeneous = different processors for different tasks (shading, texturing, rasterization)

We’re now going to talk about this scheduler
Simplified pipeline

Application

Vertex/Geometry Processing

Rasterization

Fragment Processing

Frame-Buffer Ops

Output image
A cartoon GPU:

Assume we have four separate processing pipelines
Leverages parallelism present in rendering computation
One solution: assign each pipeline to 1/4 of screen, distribute triangles to pipelines

Assign each replicated pipeline responsibility for a region of the output image
Do minimal amount of work (compute screen-space vertex positions of triangle) to determine which region(s) each input primitive overlaps
Unequal work partitioning
(partition the primitives to parallel units based on screen overlap)
More advanced parallelization...

Distribute primitives to pipelines (e.g., round-robin distribution for good balance)
Assign each rasterizer a region of the render target
Sort triangles to pipelines after geometry processing, based on screen space projection of primitive vertices
Interleaved mapping of screen

- Decrease chance of one rasterizer processing most of scene
- Most triangles overlap multiple screen regions (often overlap all)
Fragment interleaving in NVIDIA Fermi

Fine granularity interleaving

Coarse granularity interleaving

Question 1: what are the benefits/weaknesses of each interleaving?
Question 2: notice anything interesting about these patterns?

[Image source: NVIDIA]
Summary

- Parallelizing graphics pipeline is a very difficult problem
- Modern GPUs = highly optimized implementations
  - Parallelize rendering onto many cores
  - Utilize both programmable processors and fixed-function processors

- If you want to know more: consider CS348K (Fall 2018)