

Lecture #4: 6 April 2011  
Topics: A Weak Upper Bound on Lower Envelopes of Line Segments  
Scribe: Nan Hu

## Lower Envelope of Segments

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$  be a set of  $n$  nonvertical line segments in the plane, in general position. By general position we mean that any two segments intersect in at most a single point, and that no three segments share a single point. Let  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$  denote the arrangement of  $\mathcal{L}$ . An intersection point  $p$  between the interiors of two segments of  $\mathcal{L}$  is said to be a  $k$ -level inner vertex of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$  if there are exactly  $k$  segments in  $\mathcal{L}$  passing strictly below  $p$ . (We refer to such vertices as inner vertices, to distinguish them from the endpoints of the segments.) Let  $C_k(\mathcal{L})$  denote the number of  $k$ -level inner vertices in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ , and let  $C_k(n)$  denote the maximum of  $C_k(\mathcal{L})$  over all sets of  $n$  segments in the plane, in general position. We want to bound  $C_0(n)$ , the maximum number of inner vertices on the lower envelope of  $\mathcal{L}$ . It is well known that  $C_0(n) = \Theta(n\alpha(n))$ ; here we only prove a weaker upper bound of  $O(n \log n)$ . For a similar proof of the theorem from a probabilistic point of view, interested readers are referred to [1].

**Theorem 1.** *For  $n$  line segments in the plane, the complexity of the lower envelope is  $O(n \log n)$ .*

### Proof:

1. Let  $p$  be a 0-level inner vertex of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ . We sweep a vertical line from  $p$  to the right, and stop the sweeping as soon as we encounter one of the following two types of events (see Fig. 1):

- (i) We reach an endpoint of one of the segments.
- (ii) We reach a 1-level vertex.

An important observation is that the sweeping line can never reach a 0-level inner vertex before any of these events are encountered, so we can reach every such event only from (at most) one 0-level vertex. Let  $D(\mathcal{L})$  denote the number of events of type (i); we clearly have  $D(\mathcal{L}) < 2n$ , which leads to the inequality

$$C_0(\mathcal{L}) \leq C_1(\mathcal{L}) + D(\mathcal{L}) < C_1(\mathcal{L}) + 2n. \quad (1)$$

2. We now consider removing each of the line segments in turn. Let  $\mathcal{L}_i$  be the set of line segments with  $s_i$  removed, namely,  $\mathcal{L}_i = \{s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n\}$ ,  $c_i = C_0(\mathcal{L}_i)$ , and  $C = \sum_i c_i$ . Each 0-level inner vertex  $p$  of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$  will appear as a 0-level vertex of



Figure 1: Sweeping from a 0-level inner vertex: terminal events of type (i) and (ii).

$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}_i)$  if and only if the two intersecting segments containing  $p$  are in  $\mathcal{L}_i$ . This happens  $(n - 2)$  times in all  $\mathcal{L}_i$ 's. Similarly, each 1-level inner vertex  $p'$  of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$  will appear as a 0-level vertex of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}_i)$  if and only if the segment in  $\mathcal{L}$  below  $p'$  is not chosen in  $\mathcal{L}_i$ . This will happen once for each  $p'$ . Besides that, no other inner vertex of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$  can appear as a 0-level vertex of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}_i)$ . Above all, we will have

$$C = (n - 2)C_0(\mathcal{L}) + C_1(\mathcal{L}). \quad (2)$$

3. From (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n - 1)C_0(\mathcal{L}) &= (n - 2)C_0(\mathcal{L}) + C_0(\mathcal{L}) \\ &\leq (n - 2)C_0(\mathcal{L}) + C_1(\mathcal{L}) + 2n \\ &= C + 2n. \end{aligned}$$

While  $C_0(n) = \max_{\mathcal{L}} C_0(\mathcal{L})$ , clearly we will have

$$(n - 1)C_0(n) = \max_{\mathcal{L}} C_0(\mathcal{L}) \leq C + 2n \leq nC_0(n - 1) + 2n. \quad (3)$$

Therefore, we would have the following recursive relationship (by simply dividing  $n(n - 1)$  on both sides of (3))

$$\frac{C_0(n)}{n} - \frac{C_0(n - 1)}{n - 1} \leq \frac{2}{n - 1}.$$

Summing over all  $n$ , and note that  $C_0(2) = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(n) &\leq n \left( C_0(2) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i} \right) \\ &= n(1 + 2H_{n-1}) = O(n \log n) \end{aligned}$$

An event on the lower envelope will be to encounter either i) an endpoint or ii) a 0-level inner vertex. As the total number of endpoints on the lower envelope is bounded by  $2n$ , the overall complexity of the lower envelope will be  $O(n \log n)$ . Q.E.D.

## References

- [1] Boaz Tagansky. *A New Technique for Analyzing Substructures in Arrangements of Piecewise Linear Surfaces*. *Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 16(5), pp. 455–479, 1996.