## Ray Tracing

Ray Tracing 1

- Basic algorithm
- Overview of pbrt
- Ray-surface intersection (triangles, ...)

Ray Tracing 2

- Problem: brute force = |Image| $\mathbf{x}$ |Objects $\mid$
- Acceleration data structures


## Primitives

pbrt primitive base class

- Shape
- Material (reflection and emission)

Subclasses

- Primitive instance
- Transformation and pointer to a primitive
- Aggregate (collection)
- Treat collections just like single primitives
- Incorporate acceleration structures into collections
- May nest accelerators of different types
- Types: grid.cpp and kdtree.cpp


## Uniform Grids



Preprocess scene

1. Find bounding box

## Uniform Grids



Preprocess scene

1. Find bounding box
2. Determine resolution
$n_{v}=n_{x} n_{y} n_{z} \propto n_{o}$ $\max \left(n_{x}, n_{y}, n_{z}\right)=d \sqrt[3]{n_{o}}$
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## Uniform Grids



Preprocess scene

1. Find bounding box
2. Determine resolution

$$
\max \left(n_{x}, n_{y}, n_{z}\right)=d \sqrt[3]{n_{o}}
$$

3. Place object in cell, if object overlaps cell
4. Check that object's surface intersects cell

## Uniform Grids



Preprocess scene
Traverse grid
3D line - 3D-DDA
6-connected line

## Section 4.3

## Caveat: Overlap

Problem: Don't output first intersection found!
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Problem: Redundant intersection tests

## Caveat: Overlap

Problem: Don't output first intersection found!


Problem: Redundant intersection tests
Solution: Mailboxes

- Assign each ray an increasing number
- Primitive intersection cache (mailbox)
- Store last ray number tested in mailbox
- Only intersect if ray number is greater


## Spatial Hierarchies



Letters correspond to planes (A) Point Location by recursive search

## Spatial Hierarchies



Letters correspond to planes (A, B)
Point Location by recursive search

## Spatial Hierarchies



Letters correspond to planes (A, B, C, D) Point Location by recursive search

## Variations



## Ray Traversal Algorithms

Recursive inorder traversal
[Kaplan, Arvo, Jansen]

$$
t^{*}=(S-\mathbf{O}[a]) / \mathbf{D}[a]
$$


$t_{\text {max }}<t^{*}$

$t_{\text {min }}<t^{*}<t_{\text {max }}$

$t^{*}<t_{\text {min }}$

Intersect (L, tmin,tmax) Intersect (L,tmin,t*) Intersect ( $R, t m i n, t m a x)$ Intersect ( $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{t} *$, tmax )

How to Build the Hierarchy?


## Build Hierarchy Top-Down



Methods to choose axis and splitting plane

- Midpoint
- Median cut (balanced)
- Surface area heuristic


## Cost

What is the cost of tracing a ray through a node?
$\operatorname{Cost}($ node $)=$ C_trav $+\operatorname{Prob}($ hit L$) * \operatorname{Cost}(\mathrm{~L})+\operatorname{Prob}($ hit R$) * \operatorname{Cost}(\mathrm{R})$

C_trav = cost of traversing a cell
$\operatorname{Cost}(\mathrm{L})=$ cost of traversing left child
$\operatorname{Cost}(\mathrm{R})=$ cost of traversing right child

## Splitting with Cost in Mind

From Gordon Stoll


## Split in the Middle = Bad!

## From Gordon Stoll



Makes the L \& R probabilities equal Pays no attention to the L \& R costs

## Split at the Median = Bad!

## From Gordon Stoll



Makes the L \& R costs equal Pays no attention to the L \& R probabilities

## Cost-Optimized Split = Good!

## From Gordon Stoll



Automatically and rapidly isolates complexity Produces large chunks of empty space

## Cost

## Need the probabilities

- Turns out to be proportional to surface area


## Need the child cell costs

- Triangle count is a good approximation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cost}(\text { cell }) & =\text { C_trav }+\operatorname{Prob}(\text { hit } \mathrm{L}) * \operatorname{Cost}(\mathrm{~L})+\operatorname{Prob}(\text { hit } \mathrm{R}) * \operatorname{Cost}(\mathrm{R}) \\
& =\text { C_trav }^{2} \mathrm{SA}(\mathrm{~L}) * \operatorname{TriCount}(\mathrm{~L})+\mathrm{SA}(\mathrm{R}) * \operatorname{TriCount}(\mathrm{R})
\end{aligned}
$$

C_trav is the ratio of the cost to traverse to the cost to intersect
C_trav $=1: 80$ in pbrt
C_trav $=1: 1.5$ in a highly optimized version

## Projected Area and Ray Intersection

Number of rays in a given direction that hit an object is proportional to its projected area


## Projected Area and Surface Area

Number of rays in a given direction that hit an object is proportional to its projected area


The total number of rays hitting an object is $4 \pi \bar{A}$ Crofton's Theorem:

For a convex body $\bar{A}=\frac{S}{4}$
For a sphere $S=4 \pi r^{2}$ and $\bar{A}=A=\pi r^{2}$

## Surface Area and Ray Intersection

The probability of a ray hitting a convex shape enclosed by another convex shape is
$S_{c}$


$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[r \cap S_{o} \mid r \cap S_{c}\right]=\frac{S_{o}}{S_{c}}
$$

## Sweep Build Algorithm



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{a}=\frac{S_{a}}{S} & p_{b}=\frac{S_{b}}{S} \\
N_{a} & N_{b}
\end{array}
$$

## Basic Build Algorithm (Triangles)

1. Pick an axis, or optimize across all three
2. Build a set of "candidare" split locations

Note: Cost extrema must be at bbox vertices

- Vertices of triangle
- Vertices of triangle clipped to node bbox

3. Sort or bin the triangles
4. Sweep to incrementaly track $L / R$ counts, cost
5. Output position of minimum cost split

Running time: $T(N)=N \log N+2 T(N / 2)$

$$
T(N)=N \log ^{2} N
$$

## Termination Criteria

When should we stop splitting?

- Bad: depth limit, number of triangles
- Good: When split does not lower the cost

Threshold of cost improvement

- Stretch over multiple levels
- For example, if cost doesn't go down after three splits in a row, terminate
Threshold of cell size
- Absolute probability SA(node)/SA(scene) small


## Best Reported Timings

| $\underbrace{$ Framerate (FPS) @  <br>  \# of triangles  <br>  and shader $(+l-)$} |  |  | OpenRT @ 2.5 GHz P4 <br> 1 thread | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MLRTA @ } \\ & 2.4 \mathrm{GHz} \text { P } \end{aligned}$ <br> 1 thread | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MLRTA @ } \\ & 3.2 \text { GHz P4 } \end{aligned}$ <br> with HT <br> 2 threads |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Erw6 } \\ & 804 \end{aligned}$ |  | - shader | 7.1 | 70.2 | 109.8 |
|  |  | + shader | 2.3 | 37.8 | 50.7 |
| Conference 274K |  | - shader | 4.55 | 11.2 | 19.5 |
|  |  | + shader | 1.93 | 9.5 | 15.6 |
| Soda Hall 2195K |  | - shader | 4.12 | 21.1 | 35.5 |
|  |  | + shader | 1.8 | 15.3 | 24.1 |

Reshetov, Soupikov, Hurley, SIGGRAPH 2005

## Superoptimizations

Lots of optimizations

- Carefully written inner loop (no recursion)
- Use vector instructions SSE2
- 64 bits per kd-tree node
- 32 bit position
- 32 bit pointer to pair of child nodes
- 2 bits for split plane direction ( $x, y$, or $z$ )
- Trace packet of rays
- 4 or more rays at a time
- Intersect beam at top of tree
- Encourage empty nodes
- Special case axis-aligned triangles
- ...


## Comparison



| Time |  | Spheres | Rings | Tree |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Uniform Grid | d=1 | 244 | $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1 7}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{d = 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 1}$ |
| Hierarchical Grid |  | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |

V. Havran, Best Efficiency Scheme Project
http://www.cgg.cvut.cz/BES

## Comparison



T_R - Time to Ray Trace; T_B - Time to Build
CS348B Lecture 3
Pat Hanrahan, Spring 2007

## Theoretical Nugget 1

## Computational geometry of ray shooting

1. Triangles (Pellegrini)

■ Time: $O(\log n)$

- Space: $O\left(n^{5+\varepsilon}\right)$


2. Sphere (Guibas and Pellegrini)

- Time: $O\left(\log ^{2} n\right)$
- Space: $O\left(n^{5+\varepsilon}\right)$



## Theoretical Nugget 2

Optical computer = Turing machine
Reif, Tygar, Yoshida

Determining if a ray
 starting at y0 arrives at $y n$ is undecidable



